

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patoni and Trademark Office
Address: COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 180 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

|                   |                           |                      | •                   |                         |  |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
| 09/883,508        | 06/19/2001                | Jeffrey A. Bedell    | 53470.003042        | 8696                    |  |
| 21967             | 7590 10/18/2005           |                      | EXAM                | INER                    |  |
| HUNTON &          | HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP     |                      |                     | ZHEN, LI B              |  |
| INTELLECT         | UAL PROPERTY DEPA         | ARTMENT              |                     |                         |  |
| 1900 K STRE       | 1900 K STREET, N.W.       |                      |                     | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| <b>SUITE 1200</b> | SUITE 1200                |                      |                     | 2194                    |  |
| WASHINGTO         | WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109 |                      |                     | DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application Number   | Application/Control No.  | Applicant(s)/Patent under<br>  Reexamination   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 09/883,508   | BEDELL ET AL.  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | Li Zhen  | 2194   |  |  |  |
| Document Code - AP.PRE.I   | DEC  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Panel De  This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri  |  | appeal Brief Review  |  |  |  |
| 1. Improper Request – The Req  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has no☐ The request does not include  | t been filed concurrent with the<br>e reasons why a review is appro<br>ncluded with the Pre-Appeal Bri   | opriate.   |  |  |  |
| The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm  |  | pt date of the Notice of Appeal or from all has been received.   |  |  |  |
| held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice.  | appeal because there is at least in accordance with 37 CFR 41. cm mailing this decision, or the e of appeal, whichever is greate CFR 1.136 based upon the mail | A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been st one actual issue for appeal. Applicant .37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period er. Further, the time period for filing of the date of this decision or the receipt date |  |  |  |
| The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. <b>⊠ Reopen Prosecution</b> – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| All participants:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) <u>William Thomson - SPE 2194</u> . (3)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) Li Zhen  | (4)  |  |  |  |  |